

Sex Ed Goes Tech:

Integrating technology into the evaluation three sexual health education programs.

Genevieve Martínez-García, Healthy Teen Network Elizabeth Cook, Child Trends Reiley Reed, UC San Francisco

Evaluation From Learning to Action 2017 Washington DC November 6-11, 2017








TECHNOLOGY ACROSS THE RESEARCH LIFESPAN









01 RECRUITMENT 02 RETENTION

03 ENROLLMENT **04**DATA COLLECTION





RECRUITMENT



RETENTION



ENROLLMENT



DATA COLLECTION









RETENTION



ENROLLMENT



DATA COLLECTION





RECRUITMENT



RETENTION



ENROLLMENT



DATA COLLECTION



OUR HOPES...







IDEAS

COST

TO DON'TS



OUR HOPES...







IDEAS

COST

TO DON'TS







Crush:

Evaluating a high tech sexual health app and SMS for girls

Genevieve Martínez-García, PhD



My Plan



Need Answers?





Now What?





Getting people in





Working with others

- MOBILE TOOL
- SEXUAL HEALTH
- ACCURATE / COMPREHENSIVE
- WOMEN 15-17 YRS OLD
- THEORY-GROUNDED
- YOUTH-INFORMED



What is Erush?


CHALLENGE

How to recruit and retain participants throughout a 6 month "online-only"? RCT?


ASSUMPTIONS

Youth are bombarded with media messages
Youth use many social media channels
Youth may forget about the app
Youth may not complete surveys


STUDYDESIGN




Top Platforms Used by Youth 2015



Top Platforms Used by Youth 2017



Ad development process



Banner Ads







Cohesive Concepts









WE WON'T TURN YOU INTO A FROG.







ASSUMPTIONS



Reach 64,576

Clicks 343

Cost per click \$0.66



37,856

Clicks 852

Cost per click \$0.39

Reach 274,952

Clicks

2,924

Cost per click \$0.46


Reach

391,982

Clicks 11,971

Cost per click \$0.39 - \$0.89









Social Media Presence





North 64 South 16 Dakota 61 20 Wyoming Massachusetts Rhode Island 76 50 15 50 71 9 11 entucky Maryland 3 Oklahoma 9 44 5 41 119 Baja California Chihuahua Baja California Mexico O Veracruz 85% Guerrero Oaxaca Honduras Nicaragua

Recruited through Instagram

N= 1,280 Baseline in 2 months







The Girl Power Project Study



Registration

Informed Consent

Study Description: We are testing how well a health mobile app works on 14 to 18 year old girls. You may be chosen to use a sexual health app or a nutrition app. Your participation is voluntary and you can stop being in the study at any time. If you do not want to be in the study, this will not affect you in any way.

Research tasks: You will be in the study for 6 months. During this time you will be asked to: 1. Complete a study enrollment form with your contact information. 2. Complete a survey on your phone now, in 3 months and in 6 months. The survey takes 10 minutes to complete. 3. Read health text messages you will get on your phone. 4. Access the app you were assigned to.

Pointe reporting borden of interesting contention of imministration states from 2 to 4-minutes/hour with an estimated average of 3 minutes/hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data/information sources, gathering and maintaining the data/information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Information Collection Review Office, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN: PRA (0928-





I read and understood the informed consent

• Yes • No

I agree to complete a total of 3 surveys during 6 months.

• Yes • No

I understand that my information will be kept private.

• Yes • No

I understand that there are no direct benefits to me.


• Yes • No

My participation Is voluntary and I can stop at any time *




I agree to be part of the study.

PARENTAL CONSENT



Lessons learned



- Test different concepts & social media channels
- Use data to guide decisions
- Segment your target audience
- Use data to guide decisions
- Use current events/seasons
- Monitor social media presence
- Use questions to validate understanding of consent


You got them, but now

you keep them...




SMS vs MMS


More than 99% of women who have had sex have used one form of birth control.



Intervention Group











Control Group









Retention & Engagement










Administrative









FINAL SAMPLE



Message "Drip"





Lessons learned



- Test SMS and MMS
- Graphics representing your audience and hotlinks
- Monitor incoming ad outgoing messages
- Marketing department doesn't talk to programming department.
- Confirm reporting requirements with vendor
- SMS companies are for marketing not research, reliability may not be their strength.

Content experts

Instructional designers

Researchers

Media producers

Youth

Programmers

Health educators

Web designers





Lessons learned



- Need a broad skill set
- Set common language
- Assume nothing
- Storyboard everything

Thanks

Genevieve Martinez-Garcia
Genevieve@HealthyTeenNetwork.Org





Pulse: Evaluating a pregnancy prevention mobile app for older adolescents nationwide

Elizabeth Cook, MSPH Jennifer Manlove, Ph.D. Makedah Johnson, B.S. *Child Trends*



Overview



What is Pulse App?

A self-led, web-based mobile sexual and reproductive health app intervention for young Black and Latina women developed by Healthy Teen Network and Meta Media.

Goals

To increase...

- the use of highly effective contraceptives and
- visits to sexual and reproductive health clinics

... among young women in the United States.



Context of Evaluation Study

Pulse Evaluation



Funded by U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Office of Adolescent Health



Two-arm randomized controlled trial



Pilot tested in 2016



Mirrored apps for treatment and control groups



6-week intervention:

- access to app
- unidirectional MMS text messages



Pulse Evaluation



Social media recruitment



Data collection

- baseline survey (online)
- immediate post-intervention survey (online)
- in-depth phone interviews
- app usage
- text messages



Partners



App development and advertising



Evaluation



App development and hosting



Data collection and retention



Text messaging



Recruitment



Eligibility Criteria



N = 1,304



Goal: at least 70% Black and/or Latina



Recruited participants November 2016 to October 2017



Eligibility Criteria



Female



Aged 18-20



Living in U.S. or territories



Not pregnant or trying to become pregnant at baseline




Daily access to smartphone



Enrolling Participants

Pilot enrollment process







About Us









This study was made possible by Grant Number TP2AH000038 from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Office of Adolescent Health.

The study is being conducted by the following organizations:

Healthy Teen Network, based in Baltimore, Maryland, is a nonprofit organization that promotes better outcomes for adolescents and young adults by advancing social change, cultivating innovation, and strengthening youth-supporting professionals and organizations. Healthy Teen Network and Meta Media Training International are the owners and developers of the two health mobile apps being tested in this study.



Healthy Teen Network

Child Trends, based in Bethesda, Maryland, is a non-profit organization that is dedicated to conducting research and evaluating programs that improve the health and well-being of children and adolescents. Child Trends is leading the evaluation research efforts with data collection support from Ewald & Wasserman Research (E&W).



This project was made possible by Grant Number TP2AH000038 from the Office of Adolescent Health.




Early Enrollment process

Final version of screener









Final enrollment process





Tracking enrolment using Google Analytics

1.	Screener S_Q2	224	(4.33%)
2.	Screener S_Q3	223	(4.31%)
3.	Screener S_Q6	221	(4.27%)
4.	Screener S_Q1	220	(4.25%)
5.	Screener S_Q5	219	(4.23%)
6.	Screener S_Q7	218	(4.21%)
7.	Screener S_Q4	217	(4.19%)
8.	Screener S_Q8	217	(4.19%)
9.	Consent From	150	(2.90%)
10.	Enrollment E_Q1	110	(2.12%)
11.	Enrollment E_Q2_Q3	98	(1.89%)
12.	Enrollment E_Q4_Q5	90	(1.74%)
5 2	Survey P. Group, Control	20	(0 E69)
53.	Survey B_Group_Control	29	(0.56%)
54.	Survey B_Group_Treatment	27	(0.52%)



Treatment vs. Control Experience

Treatment

Control






Similarly designed apps



Treatment



Control



MMS prompts






Incentive timing




Received first incentive (N=1,304)





Received first incentive "early"

(among participants who received first incentive)





Scammers & Duplicates

Scammer – does not meet our eligibility criteria on first screener attempt but tries to pass the screener again

Duplicate – meets our eligibility criteria on first screener attempt but tries to pass the screener again

IP address
Name
Telephone number
Birth date
Address for incentive



Scenario #1: Obvious Scammer

ID	IP	Timestamp	Age	Group	State	Month of Birth	Date of Birth	Year of Birth
10	11.22.333.444	10/26/2017 11:01	<mark>35</mark>	ineligible	NY	10	1	1982
11	55.32.511.414	10/26/2017 11:10	20	control	MD	9	1	1997
12	11.22.333.444	10/26/2017 11:15	<mark>15</mark>	ineligible	NY	10	1	2002
13	11.22.333.444	10/26/2017 11:27	 19	treatment	NY	10	1	1998



Scenario #2: Obvious duplicate

ID	IP	Timestamp	Age	Group	State	Month of Birth	of	of	First Name	Last Name	Email
20	12.34.567.891 0	9/1/2017 10:02	19	treatment	VA	6	26	1998	Naomi	Brown	naomib@abc.com
21	55.55.777.777	9/1/2017 20:28	22	ineligible	NC	12	13	1994			
22	12.34.567.891 0	9/3/2017 22:15	19	treatment	VA	6	26	1998	Naomi	Brown	naomib@abc.com
23	12.34.567.891 0	9/4/2017 12:54	19	control	VA	6	26	1998	Naomi	Brown	naomib@cde.com



4,029 completed screener attempts

1,588 met eligibility criteria

284 were scammers/duplicates


1,304
participants in final sample

Scammers and duplicates



Our Sample

Race & Hispanic Ethnicity





Highest educational attainment

Participant


66% in college or technical school

Parent

59% some college or more



Baseline sexual experience



Next Steps

Next Steps



Beginning to conduct impact analyses using our 6-week follow-up data.



Pursuing funding opportunities to:

- evaluate the Spanish-language Pulse, and
- further analyze our English-language survey data and app usage data.



Contact Us

Elizabeth Cook

ecook@childtrends.org

Jennifer Manlove

jmanlove@childtrends.org





Calling tech support: Using digital technology to complement traditional modes of data collection in the evaluation of *SpeakOut*

Reiley Reed, MPH
Program in Woman-Centered Contraception
Department of Family & Community Medicine
University of California, San Francisco






Roadmap

- Project background
- Content of Intervention & Control
- Evaluation design
- Technology used for evaluation
 - REDCap
 - Qualtrics
 - GoogleVoice

Context


- Social communication plays a big role in women and adolescents' preferences for contraception
- Friends and family are a trusted source of contraceptive information for youth
- IUD's and Implants (LARC) are highly effective
- Incorrect information is prevalent about LARC methods in social communication

Timeline



SpeakOut

- SpeakOut pamphlets and website
- Weekly text messages for 8 weeks
- Designed to be delivered to current implant and IUD users during clinic appointments
- Encourages users to share their stories and provides info on these methods



Pamphlets

YOU MIGHT BE WONDERING...

would.


IS THE IUD

SAFE?

DOES IT

HURT TO GET

INSERTED?




HOW TO BRING IT UP...

You can talk to a friend about the implant when...

- » Their phone alarm goes off to take their pill.
- » They say they have heavy or painful periods.
- » They say they don't want to get pregnant.
- » You're talking about birth control anyway!






Website







Real Stories



because it's great at preventing programsy. She loved i she got another when her first one's time was upi



Alignal decided to get the implant because she wouldn't have to think about it every day. She says the implant makes it possible prevent prognancy until she finishes school and is ready.


PartyWise – control Intervention

- PartyWise handout and website
- Weekly text messages for 8 weeks
- Designed to be delivered during clinic appointments
- Encourages participants to think about the choices in their lives related to alcohol




Evaluation Design

- Primary participants recruited from 8 clinics to receive SpeakOut or control intervention, PartyWise
- Secondary participants (peers) recruited through network sampling process
- Surveys administered at baseline and 3-months post intervention delivery





So many steps!



Research Electronic Data Capture


- HIPAA compliant, webbased survey system
- Scheduling support
- Easy to customize
- Randomization tool
- Ability to track & limit user activity



Study ID test Arm 1: English									
Data Collection Instrument	Baseline	SP 3 month follow- up	SP 3 month f/u alt contacts	PP 3 month follow- up	PP 3 month f/u alt contacts				
PRIMARY Backend	•								
PRIMARY Contact Information (survey)	Ø								
PRIMARY Baseline (survey)	Ø								
Social Contacts List (survey)									
Quality And Fidelity (survey)	•								
Network Recruitment Update									
Social Contact Eligibility Screening									
SECONDARY Backend									
SECONDARY Arm Assignment (survey)									
SECONDARY Baseline (survey)									
SECONDARY 3mo follow-up (survey)									
PRIMARY 3mo Followup (survey)									
Intervention Engagement Followup (survey)									
Delete all data on event:	×								


E-consenting in Qualtrics






GoogleVoice

- Ability to forward to 5 phones
- Call and text history easily tracked and deleted






Primary Participant Baseline Processes

- Primary Participants recruited in-clinic & consented
- Baseline survey administered via on an iPad
- List of peers who may be interested in study participation entered in on an iPad
- Paper and digital study info cards given to support recruitment of peers
- Randomization






Social Network Recruitment

- Network update text sent 1-week postintervention
- Phone call to Primary Participant 2weeks post-intervention
- Social Contacts interested in participating are screened and consented by phone, using a screening instrument
- Once consented, a separate record is created for Secondary Participants







Participant Communication Reminders

- Network update and social contact recruitment calls scheduled with reports
- All follow-up surveys scheduled in calendar






Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
1	2 P339 - f/u attempt - times out p343a - f/u attempt p404a - f/u attempt p614b - f/u attempt p341 - f/u attempt p207a - f/u attempt	3 P339 - check survey response p614b - f/u attempt P341 - f/u attempt	4 p614b - f/u attempt P341 - f/u attempt - TO tomorrow P219 (Baseline)	5 P341 - f/u attempt - TO p614b - f/u attempt	6 p614b (SP 3 month f/u alt contacts) P206 (PP 3 month follow-up) P207 (PP 3 month follow-up)	7
8	9 p614b - f/u attempt and alternate P206 - f/t attempt P348 (Baseline) P12 (PP 3 month follow-up) P342 (PP 3 month follow-up)	P342 - f/u attempt P12 - f/u attempt P614b - f/u attempt P612 (PP 3 month follow- up) P613 (PP 3 month follow- up) P208 (PP 3 month follow- up)	11 P12 - f/u attempt at 3:45 p6:14b - f/u attempt P6:12 - f/u attempt at 1pm P6:13 - f/u attempt t 1pm P3:43 (PP 3 month follow- up)	12 p614b - f/u attempt P613 - f/u attempt at 12pm P343 - f/u attempt	13 p614b - f/u attempt P613 - call before 4:15 p343 - f/u attempt P614 (PP 3 month follow-up) P220 (Baseline) +2 more	14
15	P613 - f/u attempt (call before 3pm) P614 - f/u attempt P406a - f/u attempt p614b - f/u attempt	P201 - f/u with alternate contacts P101 - PP 9 mo f/u alternate contacts p510a (SP 3 month follow-up)	p510a - f/u attempt P614 - f/u attempt p614b - f/u attempt P209 (PP 3 month follow- up) P210 (PP 3 month follow- up)	p510a - f/u attempt P614 - f/u attempt p614b - f/u attempt p614b - f/u attempt P211 (PP 3 month follow- up)	p614b - f/u attempt - time out P614 - f/u attempt p408b (SP 3 month follow-up) p408a (SP 3 month follow-up)	21
22	23 p614b - check survey response p408a - f/u attempt p408b - f/u attempt p408b - f/u attempt	p408a - f/u attempt P614 - f/u attempt P410 (Baseline) P208 (PP 3 month f/u alt contacts)	25 p408a - f/u attempt P614 - f/u attempt P614 - f/u attempt P614 - f/u attempt P343 (PP 3 month f/u alt contacts)	26 P614 - f/u attempt - no phone! p408a - f/u attempt	P614 - f/u attempt p408a - f/u attempt p514 (PP 3 month f/u alt contacts) P406a (SP 3 month f/u alt contacts) P406b (SP 3 month f/u alt contacts)	28
29	30	31 p510a (SP 3 month f/u alt contacts)				

Survey Administration



- Surveys are administered in person, by phone with a RA or independently via emailed survey link
- Follow-up reminders made via phone call and text using Google Voice and email through REDCap, based on participant preferences



Recruitment & follow-up numbers so far...

Enrollment


Primary Participants: 111

Secondary Participants: 83




Primary Participants

Follow-up rate: 85%



Secondary Participants

Follow-up rate: 93%



Next Steps

- Continue recruitment through the end of 2017 and in to early 2018
- Conduct analysis on available data in 2018
- Currently pursuing other funding opportunities to complete rigorous evaluation of *SpeakOut*



Thank you!

Reiley Reed reiley.reed@ucsf.edu

